IJIRSET
International Journal of Innovative Research in
SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.699

9940 572 462 | 6381 907 438 | ijirset@gmail.com | www.ijirset.com

ISSN (Online) : 2319 - 8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347 - 6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Two Day National Level Conference on "Entrepreneurial Leadership: Guiding Teams Towards Visionary Goals"

26th & 27th September 2025

Department of Management Studies, Potti Sriramulu Chalavadi Mallikarjuna Rao College of Technology (Autonomous), Vijayawada, India

|Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025|

Social Entrepreneurial Leadership and Organizational Dynamics: A Comprehensive Analysis

Dr Chandra Reddy Kurri[1], V. Sai Lakshmi[2]

Associate Professor, Dept. of MBA, KKR&KSR Institute of Technology and Sciences Guntur, AP, India[1]

Assistant Professor, Dept. of MBA, KKR&KSR Institute of Technology and Sciences, Guntur, AP, India[2]

ABSTRACT: This paper aims to explore the multifaceted domain of social entrepreneurial leadership and the distinct dynamics of social entrepreneurial organizations. It addresses the existing research gap between mainstream entrepreneurship and social entrepreneurship, encouraging for an interchange of insights. The analysis delineates the definitional nuances of social entrepreneurship, categorizes its organizational forms, and conducts a comparative analysis with economic entrepreneurship, highlighting both shared characteristics and fundamental differences in objectives, values, and operational models. The paper further examines the unique behavioral aspects of social entrepreneurial leaders, including their motivations, risk profiles, backgrounds, and roles, as well as critical organizational dynamics such as partnerships, innovation, competition, and the sensitive issue of closure. The analysis underscores the significant societal, economic, and developmental contributions of social entrepreneurial ventures, emphasizing the potential for leadership development within this under-researched field. It proposes avenues for future academic inquiry to deepen understanding of this vital sector.

KEYWORDS: Entrepreneurship, Social entrepreneurship, operational models, leaders, dynamics.

I. INTRODUCTION

The landscape of global development and societal progress is increasingly shaped by innovative organizational forms dedicated to addressing complex social challenges. Among these, social entrepreneurial organizations and their leaders stand out as crucial agents of change. These entities are not merely charitable endeavours but dynamic ventures that blend social mission with entrepreneurial drive, contributing significantly to community upliftment and sustainable development worldwide. This paper investigates into the nature of social entrepreneurial leadership and the organizational dynamics that characterize these unique ventures, aiming to bridge a notable gap in existing entrepreneurship research.

Defining Social Entrepreneurial Leaders and Organizations

Social entrepreneurial leaders are defined as individuals who initiate and manage innovative organizations or ventures with a primary focus on social change and the development of their client groups. These organizations operate with a core objective of social change and development, whether their activities are economic or non-economic in nature. Their work extends beyond simple service provision, aiming for transformative impact within the communities they serve.

The Importance of Social Change Organizations and the Research Gap

The growing global importance of social change organizations cannot be overstated. Scholars such as Cooperrider and Pasmore (1991) have highlighted their critical role, particularly in uplifting disadvantaged communities, especially in the Global South, and in promoting sustainable growth and development for the planet. These organizations are recognized for their strong cumulative effect on society, contributing to economic development alongside socio-political change.

Despite their profound impact, the study of leadership has not adequately explored the role of social entrepreneurial leaders throughout the entire lifecycle of these organizations—from their formation and growth to their functioning, effectiveness, decline, and eventual closure. While entrepreneurship is broadly defined as the "creation of a new

ISSN (Online) : 2319 - 8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347 - 6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Two Day National Level Conference on "Entrepreneurial Leadership: Guiding Teams Towards Visionary Goals"

26th & 27th September 2025

Department of Management Studies, Potti Sriramulu Chalavadi Mallikarjuna Rao College of Technology (Autonomous), Vijayawada, India

|Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025|

enterprise" (Low & MacMillan, 1988), a definition that encompasses ventures with a social mission, mainstream entrepreneurship research has shown limited interest in this "rich and socially relevant field". This has led to a widening gap between research on social and economic entrepreneurship, despite the many similarities in traits and behaviors exhibited by their founders. The conventional understanding of entrepreneurship, often tied to financial outcomes and economic progress, has historically marginalized ventures primarily driven by social missions, even when they display comparable entrepreneurial characteristics. This narrow focus represents a missed opportunity for mainstream entrepreneurship to gain valuable perspectives on values-driven organizational building and resilience, which are increasingly pertinent even in traditional business contexts.

Purpose of the Paper: Bridging the Divide

This paper seeks to address this research divide by exploring opportunities for "interchange" between social and mainstream entrepreneurship research. The aim is to identify shared traits and key differences between these two types of organizations and their leaders, thereby enabling insights from one field to inform the other where appropriate. By combining these distinct research areas, valuable understandings can be gained from both sides. For instance, studying social entrepreneurial organizations can provide mainstream entrepreneurship researchers with helpful insights into how values and beliefs emerge among members during the organization-building process. Conversely, the extensive research on economic entrepreneurship can deepen the understanding of social entrepreneurial behavior and processes within social entrepreneurial organizations.

The call for cross-fertilization is not merely an academic exercise but a strategic imperative. The complex and systemic problems that social entrepreneurial organizations tackle, such as poverty, inequality, and environmental degradation, demand robust solutions. By integrating perspectives from economic entrepreneurship, such as efficiency, scalability, and market mechanisms, and conversely, by incorporating principles of values integration, community engagement, and qualitative impact measurement from social entrepreneurship, both fields can develop more comprehensive frameworks for addressing these grand challenges. This suggests a future where the distinctions between "economic" and "social" enterprises may become less rigid, necessitating the development of integrated theoretical models that leverage the strengths of both profit-driven innovation and social mission-driven impact.

II. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS OF SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP

To fully appreciate the unique contributions and challenges of social entrepreneurial ventures, it is essential to establish a clear conceptual foundation. This involves defining the leaders and their ventures, distinguishing them from similar concepts, and categorizing the various forms they can take.

Detailed Definitions of Social Entrepreneurial Leaders and Ventures

As previously noted, social entrepreneurial leaders are individuals who create and manage innovative organizations or ventures focused on social change and the development of their clients. These organizations exhibit flexibility in their financial models. They may rely on external funding for their activities, or they can achieve self-sufficiency by engaging in economic activities that align with their core mission. The surplus generated from these economic activities is then reinvested to support their social goals. Additionally, some social entrepreneurial organizations foster economic activities directly within their client groups as part of their mission, retaining funds from the surplus created by these activities to further their objectives.

This financial duality highlights a critical aspect of their long-term viability. Organizations that depend solely on external funding, such as grants or donations, face inherent vulnerabilities, including donor fatigue, shifts in funding priorities, and economic downturns. In contrast, those that pursue self-sufficiency through economic activities, while potentially more stable, must carefully balance their social mission with commercial viability to prevent mission drift. The capacity to generate and retain surplus funds through entrepreneurial activities represents a significant innovation within the social sector, enabling a hybrid model that can enhance sustainability and impact. This underscores that the "entrepreneurial" dimension extends beyond mere venture creation to encompass innovative approaches to financing and operational management.

ISSN (Online) : 2319 - 8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347 - 6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Two Day National Level Conference on "Entrepreneurial Leadership: Guiding Teams Towards Visionary Goals"

26th & 27th September 2025

Department of Management Studies, Potti Sriramulu Chalavadi Mallikarjuna Rao College of Technology (Autonomous), Vijayawada, India

|Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025|

Distinction between Social Entrepreneurial and Social Intrapreneurial Ventures

The paper also introduces for-profit organizations that pursue social change and development through new social ventures. If these are clearly identifiable new initiatives led by an independent, innovative creator-manager, they are termed

Social Intrapreneurial ventures. The key distinction lies in their origin: social entrepreneurial ventures are entirely new initiatives started by individuals without a prior organizational affiliation, whereas social intrapreneurial ventures are distinct new ventures created by an existing organization using its own members. This differentiation is crucial for understanding the varying contexts in which social innovation emerges.

Typology of Social Entrepreneurial Organizations

Social entrepreneurial organizations can adopt various legal forms, including societies, associations, clubs, teams, co-operatives, or agencies. Within this broad category, three distinct types of social entrepreneurial organizations are identified, primarily differing in their core ideology:

- **Charitable Organizations:** These entities primarily provide relief to those in need, often focusing on individuals. Their approach can sometimes be patronizing or moralistic, stemming from empathy and a belief in sacrifice, frequently rooted in religious principles. They typically support improvements through non-political and non-violent means.
- **Social Action Organizations:** In contrast, social action organizations actively tackle issues related to politics and justice. Their aim is to bring about societal change, sometimes employing political or even violent methods to achieve their objectives.
- **Developmental Organizations:** These organizations concentrate on initiating economic activities among underprivileged groups. They introduce technological and organizational innovations and often engage in experimentation. However, they rarely seek to make significant social or political changes, focusing instead on economic upliftment.

The explicit statement that the "key differences among these three types mainly lie in their ideology" is highly significant. This suggests that ideology is not merely a philosophical underpinning but a practical determinant of organizational design, strategic choices, and the very nature of the social change pursued. For example, a charitable organization's ideology of "empathy and sacrifice" will naturally lead to strategies focused on direct service provision, which differs fundamentally from a social action organization's ideology of "changing society," potentially through more confrontational methods.

III. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: SOCIAL VS. ECONOMIC ENTREPRENEURSHIP

While social and economic entrepreneurial organizations often appear distinct, a closer examination reveals both striking similarities and fundamental differences. Understanding these comparative aspects is essential for identifying where cross-fertilization of knowledge is beneficial and where caution is required.

Common Characteristics

Both small social entrepreneurial organizations and small economic entrepreneurial organizations share several fundamental characteristics. They are typically local efforts that provide innovative products and services, often developed within the local context.

Brown and Covey (1987) identified distinguishing characteristics of small developmental organizations that are increasingly relevant to economic organizations. These include the critical role of values and ideologies in organizational life, the necessity for their mission to differentiate into sub-units connected with various external groups, and their loosely organized structure, which grants considerable discretion and flexibility to their members.

The following table summarizes the common characteristics shared by small social and economic entrepreneurial organizations

ISSN (Online) : 2319 - 8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347 - 6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Two Day National Level Conference on "Entrepreneurial Leadership: Guiding Teams Towards Visionary Goals"

26th & 27th September 2025

Department of Management Studies, Potti Sriramulu Chalavadi Mallikarjuna Rao College of Technology (Autonomous), Vijayawada, India

|Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025|

Characteristic	Description
Local Efforts	Provide innovative products and services, usually developed locally.
Experimentation	Engage in trial runs and various approaches to find viable methods.
Identifiable Leaders	Have strongly committed leaders.
Local Needs Focus	Initially aim to meet local people's needs and are largely supported by them.

The following table provides a concise overview of these key differences:

Table 2: Key Differences between Social and Economic Entrepreneurial Organizations

Dimension	Social Entrepreneurial Organizations	Economic Entrepreneurial Organizations
Primary Objective	Focus on changing environments; social change is primary.	Focus on producing products/services for financial stability; social change is often secondary.
Values & Beliefs	Paramount; often justify activities.	Less explicit significance in core operations.
Operational Dynamics (Boundary Activities)	Main activities at boundaries; external events more important.	Focus on internal processes and efficiencies.
Authority & Ownership	Authority/ownership can be external; client groups influence direction.	Authority mostly internal; driven by internal needs, secondarily by client demands.
Success Metrics	Qualitative changes; may need new missions upon achieving goals.	Quantitative growth; sustained financial stability.

IV. SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURIAL LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOUR

Understanding the distinct behavioural patterns of social entrepreneurial leaders is crucial for appreciating their unique contributions and challenges. While they share some traits with their economic counterparts, their motivations, risk profiles, and operational contexts often differ significantly.

General Characteristics

Social entrepreneurial leadership is inherently complex, demanding the ability to manage multiple activities and roles simultaneously. It is often a voluntary career that requires intense personal involvement, characterized by high emotional energy and a strong drive. These leaders exhibit remarkable tenacity and persistence, particularly during challenging times, often perceiving their venture's events as deeply personal. They are involved in all facets of their organizations, balancing immediate operational needs with long-term strategic vision, and demonstrating the capacity for quick and flexible changes in operational focus while maintaining a steady strategic direction.

Motivations

The driving forces behind social entrepreneurial leaders often diverge from traditional entrepreneurial motivations. They may not be primarily driven by McClelland's (1967) concept of achievement motivation. Instead, a profound discomfort with the status quo often serves as a powerful impetus, propelling them forward in their endeavors. This "discomfort" signifies a strong normative or ethical drive, a deep dissatisfaction with existing societal problems or injustices. It suggests that their entrepreneurial impulse is rooted in a desire for systemic change rather than personal gain or competitive success. This intrinsic motivation likely provides them with remarkable resilience against setbacks and sustains their commitment even when facing qualitative, difficult-to-measure outcomes.

Other significant motivations include altruism, a steadfast adherence to personal values, alignment with their self-image, and a strong sense of social responsibility. A case study by Swamy (1990) revealed a strong desire to fight injustice and a willingness to experiment as key motivators for a social entrepreneurial leader. These leaders may also share a strong need for control over their environment, a trait observed in economic entrepreneurial leaders.

Age and Risk Factors

Age often influences the type of social venture undertaken and the associated risks. Younger individuals may be more willing to dedicate a few years to starting a social venture before entering mainstream careers, as the perceived career risk is lower. In contrast, middle-aged individuals face greater risks concerning career growth if they transition from a traditional career, and they typically have significant family responsibilities that weigh on their decisions.

ISSN (Online) : 2319 - 8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347 - 6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Two Day National Level Conference on "Entrepreneurial Leadership: Guiding Teams Towards Visionary Goals"

26th & 27th September 2025

Department of Management Studies, Potti Sriramulu Chalavadi Mallikarjuna Rao College of Technology (Autonomous), Vijayawada, India

|Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025|

Consequently, younger people are more likely to initiate social or developmental activities, while older individuals tend to focus on charitable efforts. The latter group may include successful entrepreneurs who, having achieved financial success and fulfilled family duties, seek to contribute to society or enhance their social image.

Background and Experience

The backgrounds and experiences of social entrepreneurial leaders are remarkably diverse. For many, prior work in other social entrepreneurial organizations serves as both a trigger and a guiding influence for their own ventures. Some possess a social work education, which provides them with valuable insights into social change and development processes. In other cases, a significant event in their current careers or a personal crisis can propel individuals toward social entrepreneurial leadership, though this often involves multiple contributing factors. It is also common for social entrepreneurial leaders to establish new organizations after working at existing ones, either due to differing beliefs or intentional choices to expand impact. Notably, some organizations function as incubators, training individuals and encouraging them to create their own social ventures in different areas.

Cultural and Societal Impact

The societal context profoundly shapes social entrepreneurial leadership. While some communities actively support economic entrepreneurial leadership, it is important to consider whether similar trends exist for social entrepreneurial leadership. Strong family influences can guide potential leaders toward this path, and childhood experiences, perhaps as a response to perceived inaction by parents or the community, or due to strong values instilled by parents and teachers, can also play a role. Personal history and psychological factors are also significant determinants.

Bird (1989) identifies several elements of this context, including the economic, political, and technical situation, the spirit of the times, and the cultural context. A critical distinction is that social entrepreneurial leaders actively aim to *change* these variables, whereas most economic entrepreneurial leaders operate *within* them. This distinction elevates social entrepreneurship from merely creating new ventures to actively engaging in systemic advocacy and institutional reform. It means social entrepreneurs are often political actors, navigating power structures and challenging entrenched norms. This requires a distinct set of skills, such as the courage to handle social criticism, the ability to instill confidence, and the capacity to build legitimacy with governmental and other powerful entities. This adds a layer of complexity and potential conflict that economic entrepreneurs typically do not encounter to the same degree. This positions social entrepreneurial leaders as agents of profound societal transformation, operating at a higher level of strategic engagement than many economic counterparts, implying their leadership involves not just internal organizational management but external ecosystem shaping.

Abilities

Swamy (1990) identified several key skills prevalent in social entrepreneurial leadership :

- The courage to handle social criticism.
- Sensitivity to the feelings of others.
- The ability to persevere.
- The ability to develop and clearly express a vision.
- The ability to instill confidence in others.
- The ability to think creatively.
- The ability to identify and meet the needs of the client group.
- The ability to work long hours.

These skills are likely also common among economic entrepreneurial leaders. The primary difference between the two types of leaders lies mainly in their beliefs, which fundamentally shape their choice of mission, methods, and goals, rather than significant differences in their actual actions, abilities, skills, or talents, both physical and mental.

Roles

Social entrepreneurial leaders assume multiple, often overlapping, roles within their organizations. They are the creators and transformers of the organization, responsible for initiating new systems, cultures, and programs. These leaders are deeply involved in strategic planning, policymaking, hiring, and providing mentorship. They frequently face conflicts between their organizational and personal roles, largely due to the strong external influence on their organizations. Role models play a key part in helping them manage these role conflicts and uncertainties. The dynamic nature of social programs and their evolution often necessitates significant shifts in their roles over time.

ISSN (Online) : 2319 - 8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347 - 6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Two Day National Level Conference on "Entrepreneurial Leadership: Guiding Teams Towards Visionary Goals"

26th & 27th September 2025

Department of Management Studies, Potti Sriramulu Chalavadi Mallikarjuna Rao College of Technology (Autonomous), Vijayawada, India

|Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025|

Networks and External Relations

Building and maintaining external relationships is crucial for social entrepreneurial leaders to gain legitimacy with various stakeholder groups. Beyond their internal clients, other key groups include funding agencies, governmental bodies, and fellow social entrepreneurial leaders. Networking with other organizations in their region and similar groups elsewhere is vital for gathering relevant information, facilitating mutual learning, identifying suitable staff, and collaborating on common goals. During tough times and periods of high pressure, family and close friends offer important emotional support. These leaders frequently exchange supportive letters and hold meetings to share experiences and encourage each other's work, fostering a strong community of practice.

Careers and Venture Movement

Once a new social venture has been started, the development of future ventures is primarily driven by feedback from the client group, leading to a deeper understanding of their evolving needs. This contrasts with economic entrepreneurial leadership, where the focus for new ventures is typically on activities related to existing products or markets. This client-centric approach underscores the mission-driven nature of social entrepreneurship.

V. DYNAMICS OF SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURIAL ORGANIZATIONS

The operational dynamics of social entrepreneurial organizations are shaped by their unique mission, stakeholder landscape, and success metrics. These dynamics influence aspects such as their growth, partnerships, approach to innovation, competitive environment, internal involvement, and even their eventual closure.

Growth and Survival

The growth and survival of social entrepreneurial organizations are primarily dependent on building credibility with both their client group and society as a whole. This emphasis on credibility, rather than market share or profit, profoundly shapes their strategic priorities and operational practices. Credibility in the social sector is built on trust, demonstrated impact, and a clear alignment with community needs. This necessitates a strategic focus on transparency, accountability (especially for qualitative outcomes), and deep community engagement. Unlike economic organizations where reputation can be built through marketing, social credibility is earned through consistent, effective, and ethical action. The suitability of the intervention and its position within the hierarchy of needs for the client groups also play a crucial role in their ability to gain and maintain support.

Partnerships

Social entrepreneurial organizations are rarely formed by equal partners, largely due to the inherent difficulty in finding two individuals with identical beliefs and working styles. A leader-follower dynamic often emerges, even among partners who initially appear equal. Partners may have connections from various spheres, such as school, work, shared interests, or marriage, leading to complex interactions in both their work and their ideas. While specific information on partnerships in social entrepreneurial ventures is limited, research on successful business partnerships suggests that shared beliefs and agreement on the partnership's structure are vital. Additionally, each partner benefits from possessing skills in psychology and interpersonal relations (Bird, 1989).

Innovation

Close contact with their client groups is a significant enabler for social entrepreneurial organizations to develop innovative, localized strategies and systems that effectively address deep-rooted social issues and contradictions. Unlike economic entrepreneurial organizations, which typically focus on innovations in products, markets, and operations, social entrepreneurial organizations often prioritize creating new and more effective strategies for social change and novel ways to alter their environment. This distinction highlights their systemic approach to problem-solving.

Competition

The competition faced by social entrepreneurial organizations differs from that of economic ones. While economic organizations compete for both resources and markets, social entrepreneurial organizations mainly compete on the input side, seeking funds, human capital, and other resources. Output-side competition is generally minimal, as they address vast unmet needs, though in large cities with many similar organizations, weaker competition may exist. Social action organizations may also encounter opposition from conflicting ideologies or groups negatively affected by their work. Unlike economic ventures, where market rivalry is central, social ventures focus on securing scarce resources

ISSN (Online) : 2319 - 8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347 - 6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Two Day National Level Conference on "Entrepreneurial Leadership: Guiding Teams Towards Visionary Goals"

26th & 27th September 2025

Department of Management Studies, Potti Sriramulu Chalavadi Mallikarjuna Rao College of Technology (Autonomous), Vijayawada, India

|Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025|

through fundraising, volunteer management, and compelling narratives. Their strategies emphasize collaboration and network-building over market differentiation, as they often address different aspects of broader social issues rather than competing directly for beneficiaries. Member and Employee Involvement

Closure

The issue of closure is complex and sensitive in social ventures. Social entrepreneurial organizations must be vigilant in preventing and recognizing a dependency syndrome among their clients. Noticing this dependence can often lead to the venture's closure, particularly if the consequences of continued intervention are severe. Sometimes, the effort must be fully completed before closure is deemed appropriate. Alternatively, some social entrepreneurial organizations maintain their client group while strategically shifting to other areas of intervention, adapting their mission to evolving needs.

VI. IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

The comprehensive analysis of social entrepreneurial leadership and organizational dynamics reveals several profound implications for both academic inquiry and practical application, highlighting the broader contributions of this sector and its potential for leadership development.

Broader Contributions of Social Entrepreneurial Organizations

Beyond their direct social contributions, social entrepreneurial organizations play a significant role in broader economic development. They actively create jobs, improve the utilization of development funds, and empower their client groups, all of which contribute directly to economic growth. This dual contribution challenges the traditional separation of economic and social sectors, demonstrating that social ventures are not merely charitable endeavours but active contributors to a nation's economic vitality.

Potential for Leadership Development

The study of the creation, creators, and management of social entrepreneurial organizations represents an important and largely unexplored field with immense potential for leadership development. Given the unique challenges and characteristics of social entrepreneurial leadership, specific competencies and models can be uniquely developed or refined through its study, with broad applicability across sectors. Social entrepreneurial leaders operate in highly complex, resource-constrained, and values-driven environments, often navigating qualitative success metrics and external dependencies.

Areas for Further Academic Inquiry

To further deepen the understanding of this vital field, several areas warrant extensive academic inquiry:

- **Detailed longitudinal studies** are needed to track the evolution of social entrepreneurial leadership behaviors and organizational forms over time, providing richer insights into their developmental trajectories.
- **Development of robust qualitative and mixed-methods frameworks** is essential for accurately measuring social impact and qualitative success, moving beyond traditional quantitative metrics.
- **Exploration of effective strategies for managing the "dependency syndrome"** and the complexities of planned obsolescence in social ventures, including best practices for client empowerment and sustainable exit strategies.
- **Analysis of the interplay between ideology, funding models, and mission drift** in social enterprises, examining how these factors influence organizational integrity and long-term impact.
- **Comparative studies across different cultural and political contexts** are crucial to understand the societal impact on social entrepreneurial emergence and success, revealing contextual dependencies and universal principles.
- **Research into the "incubation" role of some social organizations** in fostering new social ventures, identifying mechanisms and best practices for replicating social innovation.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper has illuminated the critical, yet often overlooked, domain of social entrepreneurial leadership and the distinct dynamics of social entrepreneurial organizations. It has underscored the profound societal contributions of these ventures, which extend beyond direct social impact to include job creation, improved utilization of development funds, and significant contributions to economic growth. The analysis has highlighted the definitional nuances of social

ISSN (Online) : 2319 - 8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347 - 6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Two Day National Level Conference on "Entrepreneurial Leadership: Guiding Teams Towards Visionary Goals"

26th & 27th September 2025

Department of Management Studies, Potti Sriramulu Chalavadi Mallikarjuna Rao College of Technology (Autonomous), Vijayawada, India

|Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025|

entrepreneurship, categorized its diverse organizational forms, and conducted a comparative examination with economic entrepreneurship, revealing both shared entrepreneurial traits and fundamental differences in objectives, values, and operational models.

The exploration of social entrepreneurial leadership behavior has revealed unique motivations rooted in a "discomfort with the status quo," a distinct approach to risk-taking that values learning from both success and failure, and a strategic imperative to actively change, rather than merely operate within, societal contexts. Furthermore, the dynamics of social entrepreneurial organizations, from their reliance on credibility for growth to their unique competitive landscape and the ethical complexities of venture closure, present a rich tapestry for scholarly investigation.

The insights gleaned from this comprehensive analysis underscore the critical need for a cross-pollination of knowledge between social and mainstream entrepreneurship studies. Such an integrated approach will not only advance scholarly understanding but also inform more effective practices for addressing pressing global challenges. The unexplored potential for leadership development within this field is immense, offering valuable lessons for cultivating adaptive, purpose-driven, and ethically grounded leaders capable of navigating the complexities of the 21st century. Continued academic inquiry into this vital sector promises to yield profound understandings that will benefit both theory and practice in entrepreneurship and social change.

REFERENCES

1. Bird, B. (1989). Entrepreneurial Behaviour. Glenview, Illinois: Scott, Foresman & Company.
2. Brown, D.L. & Covey J.G. (1990). Development organisations and organisation development: Towards an expanded paradigm for organisation development. Research in Organisation Change and Development 1, 59-87.
3. Cooperrider D.I. & Pasmore W.A. (1991). Global social change: A new agenda for social science? Human Relations. 44(10), 1037-1055.
4. Gartner, W.B. (1993). Organising the voluntary association. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice. 17 (2), 103-106.
5. Harris, ML. (1984). Social entrepreneurs: Economic enterprises and social reformers in old Western Reserve (1795 - 1885). Dissertation Abstracts International. 44, 3465A.
6. Low, MB. & MacMillan I.C. (1988). Entrepreneurship: Past research and future challenges. Journal of Management 14 (2), 139-161.
7. McClelland, D.C. (1967). The Achieving Society. New York: Free Press.
8. Swamy, R. (1990). The making of a social entrepreneur: The case of Baba Amte. Vikalpa: The Journal of Decision Makers. 75(4), 29-39.

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

INNO SPACE SJIF Scientific Journal Impact Factor

doi cross ref

निस्केयर NISCAIR

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

9940 572 462 6381 907 438 ijirset@gmail.com

www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details